



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FI | LING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|------------|------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/511,457 | 10/15/2004 | | Peter Neumann | 112740-1017 | 9884 |
| 29177 | 7590 | 04/06/2006 | | EXAMINER | |
| BELL, BO | YD & LL | OYD, LLC | DOAN, KIET M | | |
| P. O. BOX 1135 CHICAGO, IL 60690-1135 | | | | ART UNIT PAPER NUMI | |
| | | | | 2617 | 2617 |

DATE MAILED: 04/06/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|-----------------|----------------|--|--|
| 10/511,457 | NEUMANN, PETER | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| Kiet Doan | 2617 | | |

| | Kiet Doan | 2617 | | | | | | |
|--|--|---|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | | | 7055 | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address THE REPLY FILED 09 March 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. | | | | | | | | |
| The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. | | | | | | | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv | | | | | | | | |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). | | | | | | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | | | | | | | | |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). | | | | | | | | |
| AMENDMENTS 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); | | | | | | | | |
| (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or | | | the issues for | | | | | |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a | | jected claims. | | | | | | |
| NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)) 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1. | | omnliant Amendment | · (PTOL_324) | | | | | |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s | | omphant Amendment | (FTOL-324). | | | | | |
| 5. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | | | | | | | | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation or how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: | | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | ut before or on the date of filing a l nd sufficient reasons why the affida | Notice of Appeal will <u>r</u> vit or other evidence | <u>iot</u> be entered is necessary | | | | | |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). | | | | | | | | |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | on of the status of the claims after | entry is below or attac | ched. | | | | | |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See office action.</u> | | | | | | | | |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s). | | | | | | | | |
| 13. Other: Glorge Kong | | | | | | | | |
| | | GEORGE ENG | 1 | | | | | |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05) SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Application/Control Number: 10/511,457

Art Unit: 2617

DETAILED ACTION

This office action is response to Remarks file on 03/09/2006.

Response to Remarks

Request for Interview.

Examiner has call applicant's Rep. Peter Zura at (312) 807-4208 on 03/29/2006, and left message for schedule an interview on 03/31/2006, but no response.

In response to applicant's argument that there is no suggestion to combine the references, the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See In re Fine, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988) and In re Jones, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, examiner motivation is for keeping connectivity or service of mobile station in an emergency of disaster area.

In response to applicant's remarks that reference that fails to teach or suggest "a connection only be established from a mobile station in the area to a destination called by the mobile station if the mobile station requesting the connection establishment communicates the sequence".

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/511,457

Art Unit: 2617

Examiner respectfully disagrees, in Hoirup (Patent No. 6,397,054) teaches providing that a connection only be established from a mobile station in the area to a destination called by the mobile station if the mobile station requesting the connection establishment communicates the sequence (C1, L31-51, C2, L17-51, Fig.1, Illustrate call being establishment and re- establishment in emergency which read on establishment communicates the sequence and further cite that, the establishment of emergency service call also Illustrate in Fig.3, teach mobile station request

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kiet Doan whose telephone number is 571-272-7863. The examiner can normally be reached on 8am - 5pm.

channel/establishment call No.310 and further request service type which means as

mobile station requesting the connection establishment communicates the sequence).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, George Eng can be reached on 571-272-7495. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Page 3

Art Unit: 2617

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ket Doan

Patent Examiner

